STATE OF SOUTH CAROLINA) BEFORE THE					
								(Caption of Case) In the Matter of Amended Project Development Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Approval of Decision to Incur Nuclear Generation Pre-Construction Costs
) OF SOUTH CAROLINA) COVER SHEET)								
					Incur Nuclear	Generation Pre-C	Instruction Costs	
) NUMBER: 2	2011 - 20 -	E			
			,)					
)					
)					
(Please type or print)							
Submitted by: Robert Guild			SC Bar Number:	2358	- 19 Ch			
Address:	314 Pall Mall St	reet	Telephone:	803 252 1419				
	Columbia, SC 29	2201	Fax:	803 252 1419				
			Other:					
	·		Email: bguild@	mindspring.com	0.1.11			
NOTE: The cover s	sheet and information c	ontained herein neither replac	es nor supplements the l	filing and service of	or pleadings or other papers			
		for use by the Public Service	Commission of South Co	aronna for the part	pose of dockering and mass			
be filled out completely. DOCKETING INFORMATION (Check all that apply)								
Emergency Relief demanded in petition Request for item to be placed on Commission's Agenda expeditiously								
Dimergency is	tener acmanaca m _l		F		<u>.</u>			
Other: Peti	tion to Intervene							
INDUSTRY (Check one) NA			TURE OF ACTION (Check all that apply)					
		☐ Affidavit	Letter		Request			
☐ Electric/Gas		Agreement	☐ Memorandur	n	Request for Certificatio			
☐ Electric/Telecommunications		Answer			Request for Investigation			
Electric/Water		Appellate Review	Objection		Resale Agreement			
Electric/Water/Telecom.		Application	Petition		Resale Amendment			
Electric/Water/Sewer		Brief	Petition for F	Reconsideration	Reservation Letter			
Gas		Certificate	Petition for F	Rulemaking	Response			
_		Comments		ıle to Show Cause	Response to Discovery			
☐ Railroad		Complaint	☐ Petition to In		Return to Petition			
Sewer		Consent Order	_	ervene Out of Time	Stipulation			
☐ Telecommunications		Discovery	☐ Prefiled Test		☐ Subpoena			
☐ Transportation			Promotion	illiony	☐ Tariff			
☐ Water		Exhibit		der	Other:			
☐ Water/Sewer		Expedited Considerat	ion Proposed Or	uci	ONTE: TIL			
Administrative Matter		Interconnection Agreem	Protest	AffidaviRETURN SERVIC	TURIS CARRE			
Other:		Interconnection Amend	ment Publisher's A	Affidavi RETURN SERVIC	مار			
		Late-Filed Exhibit	☐ Report	J				

ROBERT GUILD

Attorney at Law

314 Pall Mall • Columbia, South Carolina 29201 • 803-252-1419 • bguild@mindspring.com

March 18, 2011

Ms. Jocelyn D. Boyd Chief Clerk Public Service Commission of South Carolina Post Office Drawer 11649 Columbia, SC 29211

Re:

Amended Project Development Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Approval of Decision to Incur Nuclear Generation Pre-Construction Costs Docket No. 2011-20-E

Dear Ms. Boyd:

Enclosed please find for filing and consideration a Petition to Intervene in this proceeding, together with Certificate of Service reflecting service upon the parties of record.

With kind regards I am

Robert Guild

Encl.s

CC: Parties of Record

BEFORE

THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF

SOUTH CAROLINA

DOCKET NO. 2011-20-E

In the Matter of)	
)	
Amended Project Development Application of)	PETITION TO INTERVENE BY
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Approval of)	SOUTH CAROLINA COASTAL
Decision to Incur Nuclear Generation Pre-)	CONSERVATION LEAGUE
Construction Costs	j	

The South Carolina Coastal Conservation League (CCL), on behalf of their members who will be adversely affected by the approval of the subject Application, hereby petitions the Commission pursuant to R. 103-825 of the Commission's rules to intervene and be made a party of record in the above-referenced proceeding. In support of this Petition, it would respectfully show:

1. The South Carolina Coastal Conservation League is a not-for-profit organization with over 4000 members, whose mission is to protect the natural environment of South Carolina and to enhance the quality of life of our communities by working with individuals, businesses and government to ensure balanced solutions. Members of the South Carolina Coastal Conservation League are ratepayers and stockholders of Duke Energy. They breathe the air, drink the water, and use and enjoy natural resources in the vicinity of the proposed Lee project. They are informed and believe these interests may be adversely affected by the approval of this Application

and by the construction and operation of the proposed facility as presently proposed.

- 2. The South Carolina Coastal Conservation League believes a proper balance of traditional generation, renewables, and energy efficiency will result in a healthy, more prosperous and more secure South Carolina. South Carolina now has a window of opportunity to rethink not only how we use energy, but also where that energy will come from. Unless we act now, critical opportunities may be lost, including near term job creation and economic development, a smooth transition to a new energy reality, and the preservation of the health of our natural resources and residents. CCL recognizes that natural gas, coal, and nuclear are and will continue to be part of our energy mix, but we also encourage energy policies that will lead to a more secure and prosperous clean energy future for the Palmetto State. South Carolina's energy future has yet to be determined, but the decisions we make in the next few years regarding power generation will have long lasting implications for public health, our economy, our national security, and our environment.
- 3. The South Carolina Coastal Conservation League questions the prudence of moving forward with Duke Energy's request to incur an additional \$229 million in preconstruction costs for the Lee nuclear project. Without a license from the US Nuclear Regulatory Commission and without a commitment from potential partners to share future costs and generation capacity, we believe it is unreasonable and imprudent at this time to burden the rate payers of South Carolina with these significant preconstruction costs associated with a facility whose completion remains uncertain. The recent tragic nuclear accident in Japan heightens the uncertainty surrounding nuclear projects in the United States and South Carolina. As Duke Energy's CEO Jim Rogers

stated in a recent interview, "Common sense tells me that the (Japanese) nuclear accident is going to force some rethinking of the role of nuclear." Early responses from countries including Germany and China have been to take reactors offline and begin to reassess the safety and prudence of current nuclear construction projects. Myriad alternatives exist to moving forward with incurring additional pre-construction costs for the Lee project at this time. Santee-Cooper is actively seeking other partners to share ownership of SCANA's V.C. Summer nuclear project. Duke's most recent Integrated Resource Plan (IRP) demonstrates an opportunity to meet more of their projected demand growth with higher levels of energy efficiency; which, together with a more robust renewable energy portfolio in South Carolina, could all play a significant role in making the Lee nuclear generating project unnecessary. Lastly, we maintain grave concerns about the actions by Duke Energy towards transforming the Lee project into a merchant nuclear plant by selling an ownership option at Lee to Jacksonville Electric Authority. The inherent economic and environmental risks associated with new nuclear investment and construction should not be borne by South Carolina ratepayers and residents for the benefit of a Florida utility

4. Pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-33-225, the Amended Project

Development Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Approval of Decision to

Incur Nuclear Generation Pre-Construction Costs should be denied where the Applicant
has failed to establish that the decision to incur these preconstruction costs related to
the potential nuclear plant is prudent, considering the information available to the utility
at the time and considering the other alternatives available to the utility for supplying its
generation needs. Further, the Application should be denied where the Applicant has

failed to fully and accurately describe and establish the plant being considered, including the annual capacity factor of the proposed plant, the need for the generation capacity represented by the potential plant, and the reasonableness and prudence of the potential fuel sources and potential generation types represented by the proposed plant.

WHEREFORE: for the foregoing reasons, the South Carolina Coastal Conservation League, on behalf of its members who will be adversely affected by the approval of the subject Application, hereby petition the Commission pursuant to R. 103-825 of the Commission's Regulations to intervene and be made a party of record in the above-referenced proceeding; and, pursuant to S.C. Code Ann. Section 58-33-225, respectfully urge the Commission to deny the Amended Project Development Application of Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Approval of Decision to Incur Nuclear Generation Pre-Construction Costs.

Robert Guild 314 Pall Mall

Columbia, South Carolina 29201

(803) 252 1419

ATTORNEY FOR PETITIONERS

COASTAL CONSERVATION LEAGUE

BEFORE THE PUBLIC SERVICE COMMISSION OF SOUTH CAROLINA DOCKET NO. 2011-20-E

In the Matter of)	
)	
Amended Project Development Application of)	Certificate of Service
Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC for Approval of)	
Decision to Incur Nuclear Generation Pre-)	
Construction Costs)	

I hereby certify that on this date I served the above Petition to Intervene by placing copies of same in the United States Mail, first-class postage prepaid, addressed to:

Charles A. Castle, Senior Counsel Timika Shafeek-Horton, Counsel Duke Energy Carolinas, LLC Post Office Box 1006/EC03T Charlotte, NC, 28201

Scott Elliott, Counsel Elliott & Elliott, P.A. 1508 Lady Street Columbia, SC, 29201

Courtney D. Edwards , Counsel Nanette S. Edwards , Counsel Shannon Bowyer Hudson , Counsel Office of Regulatory Staff 1401 Main Street, Suite 900 Columbia, SC, 29201

Frank R. Ellerbe, III, Counsel Bonnie D. Shealy, Counsel Robinson, McFadden & Moore Post Office Box 944 Columbia, SC, 29202

March 18, 2011

Robert Guild